Lisa M. Schweitzer CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP One Liberty Plaza New York, New York 10006 (212) 225-2000

Attorneys for Defendants HP Enterprise Services, LLC, HP Enterprise Services UK Ltd, and Hewlett-Packard (Canada) Co.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	X	
In re:	:	Chanton 11
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	:	Chapter 11 Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	: X	Jointly Administered
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	Adv. Pro. No. 07- 02262 (RDD)
v.	:	
EDS, EDS CORP., ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS, ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS	:	
CORP., ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS DE, ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS LTD. and	:	
EDS CANADA INC.,	:	
Defendants.	:	
	X	

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS AND JOINDER OF HP ENTERPRISE SERVICES, LLC
AND AFFILIATES TO OBJECTIONS OF AURAMET TRADING LLC AND
MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY INC. TO REORGANIZED DEBTORS'
MOTION FOR A CASE MANAGEMENT
ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES GOVERNING ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS

- 1. HP Enterprise Services, LLC, formerly known as Electronic Data Systems
 Corporation, HP Enterprise Services UK Ltd, formerly known as Electronic Data Systems Ltd.,
 and EDS Canada, Inc., now Hewlett-Packard (Canada) Co. by operation of merger, (together, the
 "EDS Defendants"), are defendants in an adversary proceeding (the "Adversary Proceeding")
 commenced by the Reorganized Debtors' predecessor-in-interest, Delphi Corporation and certain
 of its affiliates. The complaint initiating the Adversary Proceeding was filed under seal with the
 Clerk on September 26, 2007 (the "Complaint").1
- 2. In response to the Complaint, on April 9, 2010, the EDS Defendants filed the Motion for an Order Dismissing the Complaint With Prejudice and Vacating Certain Prior Orders Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60 and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9024 [Docket No. 16] (the "Motion to Dismiss"). In particular, the Motion to Dismiss argues, among other things, that the Complaint is time barred under the statute of limitations set forth in section 546(a) of Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code, and that the Complaint fails to plead sufficient facts to state a cause for relief in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2), made applicable through Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7008.
- 3. The proposed case management procedures contemplate that the restrictions set forth therein can be modified "for good cause shown and where circumstances warrant." While the EDS Defendants believe there are strong grounds for dismissal, in the event that the relief sought in the Motion to Dismiss is not granted, the EDS Defendants reserve their right, depending on the Court's decision and the nature of any claims that may survive, to seek relief from the case management order if the circumstances would warrant it. The EDS Defendants

¹ HP Enterprise Services UK Ltd and Hewlett-Packard (Canada) Co. have sought dismissal of the Adversary Proceeding based on a lack of personal jurisdiction, and in joining in this reservation of rights and joinder, do not waive all such defenses.

further join in the Objection of Auramet Trading LLC Regarding Reorganized Debtors' Motion for a Case Management Order Establishing Procedures Governing Adversary Proceedings [In re Delphi Corporation, et al., Docket No. 19793], the Objection of Microchip Technology Incorporated to the Motion of the Reorganized Debtors for a Case Management Order in the form of order attached thereto [In re Delphi Corporation, et al., Docket No. 19794], the Defendants' Objection to Reorganized Debtors' Motion for a Case Management Order Establishing Procedures Governing Adversary Proceedings [Delphi Corporation, et al. v. Affinia Group Holdings, Inc., et al., Adversary Proceeding No. 07-02198, Docket No. 25], the Response and Objection of Defendant, Spartech Polycom, to Reorganized Debtors' Motion for Case Management Order Establishing Procedures Governing Adversary Proceedings [In re Delphi Corporation, et al., Docket No. 19826, the Objection of the DSSI Defendants to Reorganized Debtors' Motion for a Case Management Order Establishing Procedures Governing Adversary Proceedings [In re Delphi Corporation, et al., Docket No. 19827], and the Joint Objection to Reorganized Debtors' Motion for a Case Management Order Establishing Procedures Governing Adversary Proceedings filed by Ambrake Corporation and Sumitomo Wiring Systems (USA), Inc. [In re Delphi Corporation, et al., Docket No. 19835] and request that any relief granted with respect to the limitations on discovery (in particular the limitations on document requests and interrogatories in light of the proposal that parties be exempted from the initial disclosure requirements of Rule 26(a)(1)) be made applicable to the EDS Defendants as well. Additionally, the EDS Defendants did not read the proposed case management order as providing that requests for admission in any particular Retained Adversary Proceeding (as defined in the Reorganized Debtors' Motion for a Case Management Order Establishing Procedures Governing Adversary Proceedings, Docket No. 9) cannot be served until thirty days after the close of all other fact

discovery in <u>every</u> Retained Adversary Proceeding, rather only that particular action. To the extent the Reorganized Debtors intended otherwise, the EDS Defendants join in the objections of Microchip Technology Incorporated and Ambrake Corporation and Sumitomo Wiring Systems (USA), Inc. with respect to this provision as well.

Dated: New York, New York April 15, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

Lisa M. Schweitzer, Esq.

CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

One Liberty Plaza

New York, New York 10006

Telephone: (212) 225-2000 Facsimile: (212) 225-3999

Attorneys for Defendants HP Enterprise Services, LLC, formerly known as Electronic Data Systems Corporation, HP Enterprise Services UK Ltd, formerly known as Electronic Data Systems Ltd. and EDS Canada, Inc., now Hewlett-Packard (Canada) Co. by operation of merger